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Notes (1)

- 8 in 10 Canadians have heard of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms
- Of those who have heard of the Charter, 9 in 10 say it has been a good thing for Canada
- Opinions are divided as to whether we should re-start constitutional talks to make major changes in how Canada is governed: about 1 in 3 favour re-starting talks, but slightly more favour improving things within the existing constitution, and slightly fewer do not express an opinion
- Across six areas, similar proportions favour re-starting constitutional talks, but the proportion favouring this option is slightly higher in the case of the monarchy
- Francophone Quebecers are most likely to favour re-starting constitutional talks in the case of the monarchy
- Albertans are most likely to favour re-starting constitutional talks in the case of equalization
- Indigenous Peoples are 15 points more likely that non-Indigenous peoples to favour re-starting constitutional talks in the case of the Indigenous rights
- Younger francophones in Quebec are less likely than their older counterparts to favour re-starting constitutional talks to address Quebec’s place in the federation
Notes (2)

• 1 in 2 Canadians place some importance, personally, on Quebec's signing the Constitution
• Francophone Quebecers are no more likely than are other Canadians to place some importance on Quebec's signing the Constitution
• Younger francophones in Quebec are less likely than their older counterparts to place some importance on Quebec's signing the Constitution
• Canadians are almost three times more likely to say the Supreme Court rather than Parliament should have the final say when a law contravenes the Charter
• Overall, views do not vary significantly when the question refers to a provincial legislature rather than Parliament; there is however some minor variation among Quebec francophones
• Francophone Quebecers remain more evenly divided than other Canadians on the question of whether a legislature should be allowed to override the Charter
• Views on these questions are not changed since 2020 (despite the evolving debate about Bill 21)
Now I would like to ask you whether or not you have heard of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms?

In general, do you think the Charter of Rights and Freedoms is a very good thing, a good thing, a bad thing or a very bad thing for Canada?

2018 – Environics Institute (Focus Canada)
Should we re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes in the following areas?

- The way members of the Senate of Canada are chosen
- Having the Queen as Canada’s head of state
- The status of Quebec in the federation
- The allocation of powers between the federal and provincial governments
- The way the country’s wealth is shared across provinces through the equalization program
- The rights of Indigenous Peoples

**Canada**

- **The way members of the Senate of Canada are chosen**
  - Re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes: 35%
  - Improve things as much as possible under Canada’s current constitution: 37%
  - Neither / no opinion: 28%

- **Having the Queen as Canada’s head of state**
  - Re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes: 38%
  - Improve things as much as possible under Canada’s current constitution: 28%
  - Neither / no opinion: 34%

- **The status of Quebec in the federation**
  - Re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes: 32%
  - Improve things as much as possible under Canada’s current constitution: 35%
  - Neither / no opinion: 33%

- **The allocation of powers between the federal and provincial governments**
  - Re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes: 33%
  - Improve things as much as possible under Canada’s current constitution: 42%
  - Neither / no opinion: 24%

- **The way the country’s wealth is shared across provinces through the equalization program**
  - Re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes: 35%
  - Improve things as much as possible under Canada’s current constitution: 42%
  - Neither / no opinion: 23%

- **The rights of Indigenous Peoples**
  - Re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes: 39%
  - Improve things as much as possible under Canada’s current constitution: 35%
  - Neither / no opinion: 26%
Should we re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes in the following areas?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Canada outside Quebec</th>
<th>Quebec francophones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The way members of the Senate of Canada are chosen</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having the Queen as Canada’s head of state</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The status of Quebec in the federation</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The allocation of powers between the federal and provincial governments</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The way the country’s wealth is shared across provinces through the equalization program</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rights of Indigenous Peoples</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Should we re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes in the following areas?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Canada outside Alberta</th>
<th>Alberta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The way members of the Senate of Canada are chosen</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having the Queen as Canada’s head of state</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The status of Quebec in the federation</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The allocation of powers between the federal and provincial governments</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The way the country’s wealth is shared across provinces through the equalization program</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rights of Indigenous Peoples</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Should we re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes in the following areas?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Quebec Francophones</th>
<th>Alberta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The way members of the Senate of Canada are chosen</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having the Queen as Canada’s head of state</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The status of Quebec in the federation</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The allocation of powers between the federal and provincial governments</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The way the country’s wealth is shared across provinces through the equalization program</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rights of Indigenous Peoples</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Should we re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes in the following areas?

- The way members of the Senate of Canada are chosen
- Having the Queen as Canada’s head of state
- The status of Quebec in the federation
- The allocation of powers between the federal and provincial governments
- The way the country’s wealth is shared across provinces through the equalization program
- The rights of Indigenous Peoples

Re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Indigenous Peoples</th>
<th>Non-Indigenous peoples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The way members of the Senate of Canada are chosen</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having the Queen as Canada’s head of state</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The status of Quebec in the federation</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The allocation of powers between the federal and provincial governments</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The way the country’s wealth is shared across provinces through the equalization program</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rights of Indigenous Peoples</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Should we re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes in the following areas?

The way members of the Senate of Canada are chosen
- 18-34: 30
- 35-54: 33
- 55+: 40

Having the Queen as Canada’s head of state
- 18-34: 35
- 35-54: 37
- 55+: 42

The status of Quebec in the federation
- 18-34: 30
- 35-54: 29
- 55+: 36

The allocation of powers between the federal and provincial governments
- 18-34: 32
- 35-54: 32
- 55+: 36

The way the country’s wealth is shared across provinces through the equalization program
- 18-34: 35
- 35-54: 33
- 55+: 36

The rights of Indigenous Peoples
- 18-34: 35
- 35-54: 37
- 55+: 46
### Should we re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes in the following areas?

*Quebec francophones only*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>18-34</th>
<th>35-54</th>
<th>55+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The way members of the Senate of Canada are chosen</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having the Queen as Canada’s head of state</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The status of Quebec in the federation</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The allocation of powers between the federal and provincial governments</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The way the country’s wealth is shared across provinces through the equalization program</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rights of Indigenous Peoples</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Should we re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes in the following areas? 

*Prairie provinces only*

Re-start constitutional talks to negotiate significant changes

- The way members of the Senate of Canada are chosen
  - 18-34: 31
  - 35-54: 34
  - 55+: 49

- Having the Queen as Canada’s head of state
  - 18-34: 31
  - 35-54: 36
  - 55+: 40

- The status of Quebec in the federation
  - 18-34: 27
  - 35-54: 30
  - 55+: 44

- The allocation of powers between the federal and provincial governments
  - 18-34: 32
  - 35-54: 32
  - 55+: 40

- The way the country’s wealth is shared across provinces through the equalization program
  - 18-34: 36
  - 35-54: 38
  - 55+: 52

- The rights of Indigenous Peoples
  - 18-34: 34
  - 35-54: 37
  - 55+: 40
What importance do you, personally, place on Quebec's signing the Constitution?

As you may know, Quebec is the only province that did not sign the new Constitution that Canada adopted in 1982. What importance do you, personally, place on Quebec's signing the Constitution?
What importance do you, personally, place on Quebec's signing the Constitution?

As you may know, Quebec is the only province that did not sign the new Constitution that Canada adopted in 1982. What importance do you, personally, place on Quebec's signing the Constitution?

A lot or some importance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>18-34</th>
<th>35-54</th>
<th>55+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quebec francophones</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada outside Quebec</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As you may know, Quebec is the only province that did not sign the new Constitution that Canada adopted in 1982. What importance do you, personally, place on Quebec's signing the Constitution?
What importance do you, personally, place on Quebec's signing the Constitution? **Quebec only, by provincial vote intention**

As you may know, Quebec is the only province that did not sign the new Constitution that Canada adopted in 1982. What importance do you, personally, place on Quebec's signing the Constitution?
Parliament, the Court and the Charter: who has the final say?

[q44] When Parliament passes a law but the Supreme Court of Canada says it is unconstitutional on the grounds that it conflicts with the Charter of Rights, who should have the final say, Parliament or the Supreme Court?

1987 – York University
1999 – IRPP
2002 – CRIC
2018 – Environics Institute (Focus Canada)

* 2018: question asked only to those who had heard of the Charter (85% of total sample)
Parliament, the Court and the Charter: who has the final say?

2022 – Version A (50% of sample):
When Parliament passes a law but the Supreme Court of Canada says it is unconstitutional on the grounds that it conflicts with the Charter of Rights, who should have the final say, Parliament or the Supreme Court?

2022 – Version B (50% of sample):
When a provincial legislature [in Quebec: the National Assembly] passes a law but the Supreme Court of Canada says it is unconstitutional on the grounds that it conflicts with the Charter of Rights, who should have the final say, the provincial legislature or the Supreme Court?
Parliament, the Court and the Charter: who has the final say?

2022 – Version A (50% of sample):
When Parliament passes a law but the Supreme Court of Canada says it is unconstitutional on the grounds that it conflicts with the Charter of Rights, who should have the final say, Parliament or the Supreme Court?

2022 – Version B (50% of sample):
When a provincial legislature [in Quebec: the National Assembly] passes a law but the Supreme Court of Canada says it is unconstitutional on the grounds that it conflicts with the Charter of Rights, who should have the final say, the provincial legislature or the Supreme Court?
Parliament, the Court and the Charter: who has the final say?

When Parliament passes a law but the Supreme Court of Canada says it is unconstitutional on the grounds that it conflicts with the Charter of Rights, who should have the final say, Parliament or the Supreme Court?

* 2018: question asked only to those who had heard of the Charter (85% of total sample) / language based on language of interview not home language.
Parliament, the Court and the Charter: should governments be allowed to override Charter?

[q45] As you may know, in certain cases, the Canadian constitution gives governments the power to overrule the courts by passing a law, even though the courts have declared it to be unconstitutional because it violates the Charter of Rights and Freedoms. Do you think that governments should or should not have this power?

* 2018: question asked only to those who had heard of the Charter (85% of total sample)

2002 – CRIC
2018 – Environics Institute
Parliament, the Court and the Charter: should governments be allowed to override Charter?

Canada Outside Quebec

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Should</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018*</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quebec Francophones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Should</th>
<th>Should not</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018*</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[q45] As you may know, in certain cases, the Canadian constitution gives governments the power to overrule the courts by passing a law, even though the courts have declared it to be unconstitutional because it violates the Charter of Rights and Freedoms. Do you think that governments should or should not have this power?

* 2018: question asked only to those who had heard of the Charter (85% of total sample) / language based on language of interview not home language.
Parliament, the Court and the Charter

Quebec francophones only

Who should have final say?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>18-34</th>
<th>35-54</th>
<th>55+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parliament / National assembly*</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supreme Court</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Should governments have override power?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>18-34</th>
<th>35-54</th>
<th>55+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Should</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should not</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Figures in this chart combine both versions of this question, each asked to half of sample chosen at random.